PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES

August 26, 2019

KENNETH E. KROBERGER, PRESIDENT Finance/Personnel/Administration

Call to order.

The August 26, 2019, Public Meeting of the Board of Commissioners was called to order at 7:30 PM by President of the Board, Kenneth E. Kroberger. The following individuals were in attendance.

Kenneth E. Kroberger
James A. Santi
Thomas A. Duffy
Denise M. Hull
Liz K. McNaney
Sandra Brookley Zadell
Megan Weaver
President
Vice President
Commissioner
Commissioner
Township Manager
Assistant Township Manager

David W. Duffy Police Chief

Russ Benner Township Engineer
David Onorato Township Solicitor

- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Presentation by the Reserve at Gwynedd for their contribution to the UGCA for the DARE program

Mr. Kroberger invited members of the Upper Gwynedd Community Association to the front. He introduced Mike Zumpino, Gil Silverman and Kathy Johnson. He said the UGCA was created as a way for people to donate to a 501(C)3. This is the first donation to the UGCA. Mr. Silverman said the Reserve at Gwynedd raised money at their annual car show. This contribution will benefit the DARE program. Members of the Reserve at Gwynedd, Anthony Santangelo, Victoria Graves, Tom & Marti Haney presented a check to the UGCA and gave special thanks to the Police Department, particularly Fred Lynch who goes above and beyond to service the residents of the Reserve at Gwynedd. Mr. Zumpino said we are a newly formed committee and we are now officially a sanctioned non-profit. He said this is a great way to open this new program. He added, we now have the vehicle to accept donations from those that want to give back to the community and commented how excited he is to grow this program.

Mr. Kroberger thanked everyone for their efforts to support this program.

Suspension of Public Meeting to open the first of three Public Hearings - Mr. Kroberger suspended the Public Meeting and opened the first of three Public Hearings

4. Public Hearing - Verizon

The purpose of this hearing is to give the residents of Upper Gwynedd Township the opportunity to provide comment regarding the past performance of Verizon and to identify the future cable-related community needs of the Township.

Mr. Kroberger read the above purpose of the hearing and said the Verizon agreement is currently under review by our consultants. This public hearing is part of the preliminary portion of the franchise renewal in which the Township reviews the cable operator's past performance and identifies the Township's future cable-related community needs. As such, as part of this public hearing, we invite comments from any citizens who wish to speak regarding either or both of the aforementioned subjects. Franchise renewal is the best opportunity for municipalities to assert their rights with respect to their cable operators and to obtain important benefits in return for granting the cable operators the right to use their public rights-of-way. He added, there are no votes tonight on this agreement. Mr. Kroberger entered the following exhibits into record.

Exhibits:

T1: Proof of Publication of Legal Advertisement – August 5, 2019

Brief explanation by David Onorato, Township Solicitor – Mr. Onorato added that this is for Verizon only not Comcast.

5. Request for public comment

Mark Connelly – 11 Kearney Drive, stated he is a current Verizon customer for mobile and internet. He said, in 2017, Congress decided to disapprove a regulation called "protecting the privacy of customers" of broadband and other telecommunication services. He feels the Township should make every effort possible to ensure that our privacy rights as customers are protected and that our information is not resold as a commodity. He said some of it may be preempted because of Federal/State regulations. He feels the Township should be passing a resolution and sending it Harrisburg and Washington stating that our citizens deserve the right to have their privacy on a service that there are limited choices of providers. Mr. Connelly went on to say that recently on the mobile side with Verizon there was a publication called "Large scale analysis of deployed traffic differentiation practices" that showed there is a likelihood that Verizon Mobile is potentially throttling performance for certain types of video including Amazon, Netflix and YouTube. He added, these practices are predicated on a regulation called "Restoring internet freedom order" that was passed by the FCC in 2018. Mr. Connelly said we should be asking Verizon if they are doing this with their cable. He said this regulation is on appeal with Mozilla Corp. vs Federal Communications Commission and United States of America in the DC Circuit Court so there is a good possibility that these regulations may be overturned, but the Township should ensure that residents have choices to select a service provider and not be led towards a service that they are providing and making money off of.

Mr. Kroberger said this information will be forwarded to our consultant for consideration to benefit the residents.

Ryan Godshall - 112 Providence Lane (Providence Reserve) – He said there is a monopoly on the Paone side of his development, and he can only get Comcast. Verizon had already completed installation before his part of the development became dedicated. This affects 30 – 40 homes. Verizon said it was too small of a job to do any further installation.

There were no further comments.

6. Motion to close the Public Hearing and open the next Public Hearing

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion of Mr. Santi seconded by Mrs. Hull the first public hearing was closed to open the second public hearing

7. Public Hearing – Ordinance 2019-11

The purpose of this hearing is to hear comment pursuant to the consideration of Ordinance 2019-11, an Ordinance amending Township Code to provide a definition for Short-Term Rental and amending the definitions

of dwelling unit and family and permitting short-term rentals in the Garden Apartment Residential District, Village Commercial District, Business Professional District and Transit Oriented Overlay District.

Mrs. Hull read the above purpose of the hearing and noted this is related to Airbnb's which are becoming very popular. The State Supreme Court recently made a decision on this and as a Township we wanted to make sure we are clear on our definitions.

Brief explanation by David Onorato, Township Solicitor – Mr. Onorato entered the following exhibits into record. There is no letter from the Township Planning Commission as they chose not to comment. This ordinance is designed to regulate short-term rentals in the Township. It provides a definition of short-term rentals and amends the definition of dwelling unit and the definition of family. A recent PA Supreme Court Case indicated that those that live in single-family homes and single-family developments have a right of expectation that their neighborhoods will remain that way and that transient use such as short-term rental is not consistent or compatible with that use. This ordinance will regulate where short-term rentals are permitted.

Exhibits:

T1: Ordinance 2019-11

T2: Proof of Publication of Legal Advertisement – August 5 and 12, 2019

T3: MC Planning Commission Review Letter

8. Request for public comment

Mr. Kroberger asked if anyone had comments in favor of the ordinance. There were none He then asked if anyone had comments opposing the ordinance. There were none

Dave Cardamone – 1156 Canterbury Drive asked if there is any management or permitting requirements and is there any enforcement code if there are issues with the short-term residents?

Mr. Onorato replied there is currently nothing in place, but we will be discussing that at a staff level for future consideration if it is adopted by the Board.

9. Motion to close the Public Hearing and open the next Public Hearing

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion of Mr. Duffy seconded by Mrs. McNaney the second public hearing was closed to open the third public hearing

10. Public Hearing - Ordinance 2019-06

The purpose of this hearing is to hear comment pursuant to the consideration of Ordinance 2019-06, an Ordinance amending the Zoning Map of the Township Code by designating certain parcels of land within the Township to be included in the Sumneytown Pike In-fill and Traffic Management Overlay District.

Mrs. Hull read the above purpose of the hearing. Mr. Kroberger entered five (5) exhibits into the record. Mr. Onorato entered two (2) additional exhibits (T6/T7).

Exhibits:

T1: Ordinance 2019-06

T2: Proof of Publication of Legal Advertisement – August 5 and 12, 2019

T3: Verification of Posting Notice

T4: UG Planning Commissions Minutes of May 6, 2019

T5: MC Planning Commission Review Letter

T6: Letters to property owners

T7: Notice to neighboring properties within 500' of proposed overlay district.

Brief explanation by David Onorato, Township Solicitor

Mr. Onorato reminded everyone that the ordinance being considered by the Board tonight is to adopt the map that designates which property is included in the overlay district. The actual overlay district (or amendment to the zoning code) was approved several months ago. The Sumneytown Pike properties include 781, 783, 779, two properties at 773 and one unnumbered property that is owned by Merck.

11. Request for public comment

Dave Cardamone – 1156 Canterbury Dr. – He requested that the Planning Commission minutes of April 1, 2019 be included in the record. He said the Planning Commission concluded that the density of 8 dwelling units per acre is too great. Mr. Onorato said the minutes are referencing the text amendment but will be made part of the record.

R1 was entered into record: Planning Commission Minutes of 4/1/19

Mr. Cardamone thanked the Commissioners for their time, conversations, emails and calls regarding this issue. He presented a petition with over 150 signatures on behalf of (4) developments; Providence Reserve, Sturbridge Village, Kingsley Hall and Gwynedd Square Estates as well as other single owners. R2 was entered into record: Residents petition

Mr. Cardamone said they are opposed to the implementation of the overlay as written because of the intensive uses (apartments, self-storage uses). He expressed concerns with traffic, lighting, noise, and 24-hour use. He said they would rather see owner-occupants that are invested in maintenance and upkeep of the property. Mr. Cardamone also expressed concern with continued occupancy as there are a lot of vacant properties, especially commercial properties and this will just spur further commercial development. He added they want most of all to preserve the residential character of the entire area. He went on to say they remain open to more intensive residential use, business professional or low impact, limited client uses that preserve the residential character. By a show of hands, most of the attendees were residents concerned with this ordinance.

Richard Kydd – 223 Providence Lane is opposed to the proposed development. He said there are already 2 storage units within 1.2 miles of the overlay district and is concerned with overdevelopment and added traffic. He doesn't think storage units are the right thing for the overlay district.

Cathy Pearson - 1119 Canterbury Dr. said everyone here agrees with Mr. Cardamone

Mr. Kroberger asked by show of hands who is opposed to this ordinance. The majority raised their hands. He then asked by show of hands who is in favor of this ordinance to which one person raised his hand.

Chris Canavan of WB Homes which is the equitable owner of the Jeppy property. He said they are interested in this overlay district moving forward as they have a proposal and concept plan to develop Garden-style Apartments and believes it is a good transition use. He said it would be a good reuse of a property that is poorly

zoned for what has happened along Sumneytown Pike since the road was widened and a number of commercial uses were introduced there.

12. Motion to close the Public Hearing and resume the Public Meeting

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion of Mr. Duffy seconded by Mrs. Hull the third public hearing was closed to resume the public meeting.

Mr. Kroberger thanked the residents for their time and providing input and feedback. He said it is important to get this information directly from the residents.

13. Motion to approve the Minutes of the Workshop Meeting held July 16, 2019

Mr. Kroberger noted that everyone received copies of the Workshop Meeting Minutes with the Agenda packet. Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion of Mr. Duffy, seconded by Mrs. Hull, the Minutes of the July 16, 2019 Workshop Meeting were approved as presented.

14. Motion to approve the Minutes of the Special Meeting held July 22, 2019

Mr. Kroberger also noted that the Special Meeting Minutes were received with the Agenda packet. Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion of Mr. Santi seconded by Mrs. McNaney the Minutes of the July 22, 2019 Special Meeting were approved as presented.

15. Motion to approve the Minutes of the Public Meeting held July 22, 2019

Mr. Kroberger also noted that the Public Meeting Minutes were received with the Agenda packet. Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion of Mr. Duffy seconded by Mrs. Hull the Minutes of the July 22, 2019 Public Meeting were approved as presented.

16. Motion to approve the Department Reports filed by Township Staff.

Mr. Kroberger asked for comments or questions. Hearing none, upon motion of Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mrs. McNaney, the Departmental Reports were approved as submitted.

17. Presentation of public comments and concerns (limit of five minutes per individual).

There were none

18. Motion to approve the advancement of \$10,000 to fund the UGCA for startup capital

Mr. Kroberger explained the Township is providing seed money to the 501(C)3 as a loan. This is to help the committee get started and launch a marketing campaign.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Kroberger, seconded by Mr. Duffy, the motion was unanimously approved.

JAMES A. SANTI, VICE PRESIDENT Public Safety

19. Motion to approve the 5th Annual Home at Last Dog Rescue 5K Run, Dog Walk & Fall Pet Fair on Saturday, October 26, 2019 at 9:00 am

Mr. Santi said there will be approximately 500 runners and up to 800 participants at the pet fair. They are talking to Merck about possibly using some parking for this event.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Santi, seconded by Mrs. McNaney, the motion was unanimously approved.

20. Motion to approve the National Pediatric Cancer 5K Walk on Saturday, September 14, 2019 at 7:30 am

Mr. Santi noted this is a new event that is expected to have 200 – 400 participants.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Santi, seconded by Mrs. Hull, the motion was unanimously approved.

21. Motion to approve the 7th Annual Ataxia Research Charity Bike Ride on Sunday, October 13, 2019

Mr. Santi explained ataxia is a nervous system disease that causes uncoordinated movements and loss of muscle control. We expect 300 – 800 participants in this event.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Santi, seconded by Mr. Duffy, the motion was unanimously approved.

THOMAS A. DUFFY Public Works/Parks/Recreation

22. Motion to approve Payment #2 to Floyd Hersh for Stormwater Projects in the amount of \$83,540

Mr. Duffy said this is for work on the Sweetbriar Basin that is just about completed.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Duffy, seconded by Mrs. McNaney, the motion was unanimously approved.

23. Motion to authorize the advertisement of the bid for Snow Plow Equipment Rental

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Duffy, seconded by Mrs. Hull, the motion was unanimously approved.

DENISE M. HULL Zoning/Planning/Code Enforcement

24. Motion to approve Resolution 11-2019, Preliminary/Final Land Development Plans for the Shoppes at Upper Gwynedd

Jim Garrity, Conflict Solicitor for the Township, said the Commissioners have been presented with two (2) motions; one to deny and one to approve. It will be the Board's determination as to whether or not the issues presented in the consultant's letters are sufficiently minor to grant an approval as opposed to a denial. Both motions are in the possession of the Board along with a Resolution to approve if that is the Board's choice.

Bernadette Kearney from Hamburg Rubin, here on behalf of the applicant said there are several consultants here to answer any questions from the Board. She introduced John Wichner (McMahon & Assoc.), Mike Jeitner (Bohler Eng.) along with applicant Robert Hill and Morgan Konstantindis.

Mr. Kroberger said Russ Benner, our Township Engineer, was satisfied with the applicant's response to several outstanding questions. Monica Wall of T&M Associates said the issues were very minor and can be resolved. Mr. Santi asked if there was anything in the plan that we don't see on a regular basis and we are not making any exceptions, to which Mrs. Wall replied, correct.

Mr. Garrity said he has an email from Mr. Mullin of Hamburg Rubin saying everything in the review letters is a will comply with three (3) exceptions; the further widening of Sumneytown Pike, the further widening of Church Road, and the provision for the payment of \$147,000 which will be paid as the old Genuardi's agreement provides, which is when the escrows are posted. The original draft of the resolution said the payment would be made immediately. He added, since we are not sure where this is going, we will postpone that payment until the rest of the escrows for public improvements for this project are posted, and at that time the payment will be made to the Township

Mr. Kroberger asked if the well with Merck was resolved for accessibility and the continuing ability to monitor the well. Ms. Konstantindis said we are waiting on additional documents from the EPA to further review their requests. Mr. Hill said the plan provides that the well can remain. The question is whether or not Merck needs to have it remain and if it needs to, he will work with Merck. Mr. Garrity said it is a legal document that everyone is familiar with and Merck has rights under it, DEP has rights under it. The Commissioners questioned whether it is reflected in the resolution. Mr. Garrity said the resolution can be amended to add that condition, but it can be included orally tonight that the resolution is subject to the further conditions that the issue be worked out under the Township's supervision between the property owner and Merck. Mr. Garrity noted it is included in the plan. He will revise the resolution to reflect this condition.

Mrs. Zadell asked Mr. Garrity about an issue of traffic (leaving the Shoppes) making a right turn onto Sumneytown Pike and then making a quick left onto West Point Pike. Mr. Garrity stated this issue was not brought up in Mr. Heinrich's letter but believes the applicant, the applicant's traffic engineer and Township traffic engineer should discuss this as it was a concern on the other corner, and it should not go unmentioned. Mr. Garrity clarified it is a right turn out and a quick left onto West Point Pike and the opposite movement was an issue in the Provco matter. He believes the applicant's position has been in the past there are far fewer of those movements on their side of the street than on the other side of the street but that is something we should clarify.

Mrs. Hull asked what are the options if that is deemed a problem? Mr. Garrity said it has already been addressed a little bit in terms of the Board's non widening of Sumneytown & Church so he is not sure if it is going to be an issue but noticed it wasn't mentioned in the Township's traffic review letter and feels it should be discussed. There is an option of exiting (the Shoppes) and making a left onto Church Rd. and straight across to West Point Pike.

Mrs. McNaney asked if it was ever considered putting up yellow poles to restrict traffic on Sumneytown Pike (like the ones on 202). A discussion ensued regarding the use of the yellow poles and pork chops to restrict traffic. Mr. Garrity said to the best of his knowledge the Township owns the road and has the right to add the poles and the Mr. Hill would have the right to agree/disagree with that. Mr. Santi added, we had a similar discussion a year

or so ago and the resolution at that time was to wait and see if we start getting police reports of people making the left onto Sumneytown Pike or people making a left coming in off Sumneytown Pike. If it is an issue, then we can add the yellow poles.

Waivers

a. Section 168-13.A.(2) and Section 168-13.B, requiring separate preliminary and final plan approval submissions. A waiver is granted to permit the Plans to be processed for preliminary/final approval.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mrs. McNaney, waiver (a) was granted.

b. Section 168-6.C.(1)(c), requiring plans to depict existing and proposed improvements for a distance of 100 feet outside the boundary of the tract being developed. A waiver is granted to permit the Applicant to submit aerial imagery of surrounding off-site land uses and additional surveying which depicts topography on the opposite side of Sumneytown Pike and Church Road from the Property.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Duffy, waiver (b) was granted.

c. Section 168-20.D.(2)(a) and Section 168-28.D.(2)(c), requiring widening along Sumneytown Pike. A waiver is granted in consideration of roadway improvements performed by the Township, (the cost of which is being reimbursed by the applicant) which improvements anticipated the future development of the Property, and the decreased half-width along the Property's frontage as Sumneytown Pike narrows for the bridge across the Wissahickon Creek.

A correction was noted in the first line – it should read 168-20.D.(2)(c). The Resolution will be revised.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Santi, waiver (c) was granted with the above correction.

Mr. Garrity stated that these waivers have been made necessary, in all fairness to the applicant, by the fact that the Township did these improvements years ago and that's why we are getting the \$147,000 from the applicant. Mr. Kroberger added these were improvements that the Township did when we improved Sumneytown Pike and we are now being reimbursed for the improvements along the frontage of Church Road. It was part of the agreement with the previous owner and it has been transferred to the new property owner.

d. Section 168-20.D.(2)(a) and Section 168-28.D.(2)(c), requiring widening along Church Road. A waiver is granted from the requirement to provide four (4) feet of widening along Church Road, where a minimum cartway width of 52 feet is required. Church Road currently has a cartway width of 48 feet, with a half width on the Development side of the road of 26 feet. Therefore, the Property is not deficient as to cartway width; rather, the deficiency is a result of the cartway width along the opposite side of the road. Further, the four (4) feet of widening would not result in any additional capacity along the immediate roadway network and would require significant utility relocations along Church Road.

A correction was noted in the first line – it should read 168-20.D.(2)(c). The Resolution will be revised.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Santi, waiver (d) was granted with the above correction.

e. Section 168-21.D, requiring 10 feet of open space between the curbline of any parking area and the outside wall of a building. A waiver is granted to permit the installation of sidewalks with a width of 8 feet along the main building frontages and 6 feet along the building sides for pedestrian access.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mrs. McNaney, waiver (e) was granted.

f. Section 168-21.L, pertaining to landscaped areas, landscaped islands and trees within parking areas. The Plans provide the required number of landscaped areas. However, a partial waiver is granted for the location and spacing of landscaped areas as shown on the Plans. A partial waiver is also granted for the location of trees in relation to parking spaces within the Development, although the Plans provide the required number of trees. Further, a waiver is granted form the requirement that landscaped islands equal in size to one parking space at the end of each parking aisle in the following locations: (1) around the proposed convenience store; and (2) two (2) islands in the parking area NW of Building A.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Santi, waiver (f) was granted.

g. Section 168-22.B, requiring 8 inch curb reveal and a grass strip 7 feet in width from the face of curb. A waiver is granted to permit 6 inch curb reveal, flush curb and mountable curb as shown on the Plans, in consideration of site operations and pedestrian activity within the Development. A waiver is also granted to permit less than the required 7 foot wide grass strip along certain portions of the Sumneytown Pike and Church Road due to existing utility conflicts and the connection of sidewalk from the Development to existing sidewalk along Church Road.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Duffy, waiver (g) was granted.

h. Section 162-12.B(11), providing that all inlets in sump condition shall be six-foot inlets or dual four-foot inlets, as needed. A waiver is granted due to the limited drainage areas within the Development to inlets in sump condition and the fact that any such inlets in sump condition are located on site as opposed to within roadways.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mrs. McNaney, waiver (h) was granted.

 Section 162-12.B(3), establishing certain requirements at junctions as part of storm sewer design and construction.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Duffy, waiver (i) was granted.

Mr. Garrity noted one additional change that was mentioned regarding the timing of the payment of \$147,000 is in sub-paragraph M and is already included in the Resolution.

Mrs. Hull read the motion to approve. I hereby move to:

(1) **APPROVE** the Preliminary/Final Land Development Application with Plans dated April 11, 2019, last revised July 24, 2019, which were filed by Bohler Engineering on the behalf of the Applicant, Hartford Properties, LLC,

conditioned upon the resolution of all of the outstanding issues identified in the plan review letters prepared by the Township Engineer, Township Traffic Engineer, Township Sanitary Sewer Engineer and the Township Planning Commission, to the satisfaction of the Township; and

(2) **SUBJECT TO** the draft Approval Resolution prepared by the Township Manager and the Special Conflict Solicitor and the conditions of approval contained therein, as required by subsections 508(1) and (2) of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Duffy, the motion was unanimously approved.

25. Motion to approve Ordinance No. 2019-11: Short-Term Rentals

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Santi, the motion was unanimously approved.

26. Motion to approve Ordinance No. 2019-06: Sumneytown Pike In-Fill & Traffic Management Overlay District Map

A motion was made by Mrs. Hull to NOT adopt Ordinance 2019-06, the Sumneytown Pike In-Fill & Traffic Management Map. It was seconded by Mr. Santi. Hearing no questions, it was unanimously approved. Ordinance 2019-06 was not adopted.

Mrs. McNaney said it was nice to see everyone here tonight. She appreciates everyone reaching out with questions, comments and concerns and hope you will continue to contact us as we are here to listen to your concerns.

27. Motion to approve Merck & Co. waiver request from Chapter 113-1 & 124-5 for afterhours crane work on Building 78A Roof Repair Project. (Sundays in September & October 2019)

Mrs. Hull said the following four (4) waiver requests from Merck are for Sundays as needed in addition to the Saturday work. They are being grouped together as one.

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull, seconded by Mr. Duffy, the motion to approve all 4 Merck waiver requests was unanimously approved.

28. Motion to approve Merck & Co. waiver request from Chapter 113-1 & 124-5 for afterhours crane work on Building 38 PnP Roof Replacement Project. (Sundays in September & October 2019)

See above for approval

29. Motion to approve Merck & Co. waiver request from Chapter 113-1 & 124-5 for afterhours crane work on Building 14 Roof Replacement Project. (Sundays in September & October 2019)

See above for approval

30. Motion to approve Merck & Co. waiver request from Chapter 113-1 & 124-5 for afterhours crane work on Building 75B Roof Replacement Project. (Sundays in September & October 2019)

See above for approval

LIZ K. MCNANEY Wastewater Treatment

31. Motion to approve Payment #7 to Blooming Glen Contractors for WWTP Hydraulic Expansion Project in the amount of \$337,880.85

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. McNaney, seconded by Mr. Duffy, the motion was unanimously approved.

32. Motion to approve the Flow Diversion Bid Award (Pump Stations Electrical) to BSI Electrical Contractors in the amount of \$777,000

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. McNaney, seconded by Mrs. Hull, the motion was unanimously approved.

33. Motion to approve the Flow Diversion Bid Award (Combined Bid – General Mechanical / Force Main) to Pact One in the amount of \$10,715,000

Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. McNaney, seconded by Mr. Duffy the motion was unanimously approved.

OTHER BUSINESS

34. Approval of the August 2019 bills.

Mr. Kroberger noted that the Board had received a copy of the General fund bills. Hearing no questions, upon motion by Mr. Duffy, seconded by Mrs. Hull the General Fund bills were approved.

Mr. Kroberger said the next item is the Wastewater Bills and asked for questions or comments. Hearing none, upon motion by Mrs. McNaney, seconded by Mrs. Hull, the Board unanimously approved the Sewer Fund bills.

Mr. Kroberger advised that the Board was also being asked to approve invoices from all other funds which include the Collection System, VEBA and the Pensions. Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mr. Santi, seconded by Mr. Duffy, the Board unanimously approved these invoices as well.

Mr. Kroberger said that there were several invoices that had been prepaid due to timing. Hearing no questions or comments, upon motion by Mrs. Hull seconded by Mrs. McNaney, the Board unanimously approved the prepaid invoices.

35. Call for Adjournment.

Mr. Kroberger said there will be no executive session this evening and wished everyone a Happy Holiday.

There being no further business, upon motion by Mr. Santi, seconded by Mr. Duffy the meeting was adjourned at 8:55 pm.

WOISS PA

Respectfully Submitted,

Sandra Brookley Zadell Township Manager

Approved September 23, 2019

/djl